

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/539,919	Applicant(s) HONDmann ET AL.
	Examiner Patrick F. O'Reilly III	Art Unit 3749

All Participants:(1) Patrick F. O'Reilly III.**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) _____

(2) Russell W. Warnock, Attorney for Applicant.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 February 2008**Time:** 4:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 13-18, 20, 23, 24, 27-33, 34-35 (new).

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*STEVE B. McCALLISTER
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 Supervisory Patent*

Patrick F. O'Reilly III
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Proposed substantive amendments to the claims were submitted by the applicants' attorney to the examiner. These substantive changes were prompted by discussions that took place between the examiner and the applicants' attorneys during an Attorney Interview on January 9, 2008. After carefully reviewing these proposed substantive changes, the examiner believes that these proposed changes place the claims in a condition for allowance. Therefore, these substantive changes to the claims have been included in the attached Examiner's Amendment in order to make the claims allowable as presently amended.